

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Defendant.

ORDER

Defendant moves to extend the remaining discovery deadline by sixty days and to extend the deadline to respond to Plaintiff's initial discovery requests by thirty days, citing delays related to recent staffing vacancies and the holiday season. The Court finds good cause for such an extension, given the current stage of litigation and the relatively modest length of the extension. Plaintiff opposes the extension, but does not provide an argument to rebut the good cause, nor does he suggest that he would be prejudiced by the delay. Plaintiff instead argues that Defendant is not the proper legal representative of Defendant Patrick Hendrix. Plaintiff's motion to strike is based on the same argument. This argument is not relevant to Defendant's motion to extend discovery and will not be considered at this time.

//

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's motion to extend time for discovery (ECF
2 No. 58) is GRANTED.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to strike (ECF No. 63) is DENIED.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that discovery in this matter shall be extended as follows:

5 Discovery Deadline: Feb. 9, 2018

6 Motions To Extend Discovery Deadline: Jan. 19, 2018

7 Discovery Motions Deadline: Feb. 23, 2018

8 Dispositive Motions Deadline: Mar. 12, 2018

9 DATED: December 14, 2017

10
11 
12 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
13 United States Magistrate Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28